

2-6

HQ ACPERS Data Quality - OPM's CPDF Data Quality Composite

Army Score on Individual Items - by Fiscal Year

	OPM Standard	89	90	91	92	93	94	95	96	97	98	99	00	01
Status File														
1. Days to Submit	30	30	18	35	35	22	25	32	16	21	36	50	26	28
2. Percent of records with valid data in the most used fields	97	99	99	97	98	98	97	98	98	98	98	98	98	98
3. Number of data elements valid on 99% of records	43,48,49,50,51*	39	41	41	45	48	47	48	48	50	50	50	50	49**
4. Percent of records without errors	95	86	90	76	88	94	95	95	97	97	98	74	98	98
5. Percent CPDF record count compared to SF113A count	96	99	99	99	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Dynamics File														
1. Percent of records timely	90	46	50	52	80	90	58	53	55	79	81	81	88	86
2. Percent of records without errors	95	12	90	79	83	91	83	90	93	92	89	88	89	82

* Increased from 43 to 48 data elements in September 1991; to 49 in September 1993; to 50 in December 1996; and to 51 in June 97.

** Standard changed to 50 in December 2000 when one data element, staffing differential, was dropped.

Analysis:

Army's FY01 performance against the seven individual items making up the composite:

Status File

1. Days to Submit: Army met the standard.

2. Percent of Records with Valid Data in the Most Used Fields: Army met the standard.

3. Number of Data Elements Valid on 99% of Records: Army did not meet the standard. Currently, there a 50 data elements where OPM wants accuracy of at least 99%. Army met the standard on 49 of the 50 data elements. The data element where Army had a problem is Current Appointment Authority.

4. Percent of Records Without Errors: Army met the standard.

5. Percent CPDF Record Count Compared to SF-113A Count: Army met the standard.

Dynamics File

1. Percent of Records Timely: Army did not meet the standard.

2. Percent of Records Without Errors: Army did not meet the standard.